

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/529,010	11/22/2000	Andreas Voigt	051480-5017	1235
	7590 12/28/2001			
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS			EXAMINER	
	800 M STREET NW VASHINGTON, DC 20036-5869		DOUGHERTY, THOMAS M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			DATE MAILED: 12/28/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/529,010	VOIGT ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Thomas M. Dougherty	2834
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)🔯	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 L	<u>December 2001</u> .	
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowardsed in accordance with the practice under a		
Dispositi	on of Claims		
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are withdrawn fi	rom consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-19</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	)	
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Applicati	on Papers		
9) 🗌 🧻	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.	
10)⊠ 7	The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 November 2000</u> is/ar	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected t	to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) 🗌 🛚	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	ved by the Examiner.
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		
12) 🔲 7	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).
a)[2	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
	<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	s have been received in Applicati	on No
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ol>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	·	
_a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has been rec	eived.
Attachment		o phony andor 00 0.0.0. 33 120	und/ULIZI.
1) 🛭 Notice 2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>9</u> .	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
. D.A	d	, 🗀	

Application/Control Number: 09/529,010

Art Unit: 2834

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Specification

A substitute specification in proper idiomatic English and in compliance with 37 CFR 1.52(a) and (b) is required. The substitute specification filed must be accompanied by a statement that it contains no new matter. At a minimum replace each instance of the word "actor" with "actuator" or something similar which better describes the piezoelectric component.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. For example, the word "actor" is used to describe a piezoelectric device. "Actuator" is more appropriate. *Claim* 

## Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by any of Montgomery (US 5,116,908), Hase (US 5,557,954 and 5,675,886), Harris et al. (US 6,135,234), d'Agnolo (US 4,174,799) or Jendritza et al., in their article entitled Piezopower, and under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ngo (US 6,265,810 B1). Each shows an elastic hollow body into which holes are made. Montgomery is exemplary (fig. 4), his elastic hollow body is 40 and it has holes 45. Whether the body is intended for use as containment for an actuator as Montgomery shows is not further limiting to the structure itself as claimed and therefore this carries no patentable weight. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987). Regarding how the device is made, this too lacks patentable weight. The method of forming the device is not germane to the issue of patentability fo the device itself.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 2834

Claims 1-10 and 13-20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art fails to show or fairly suggest, singly or in combination, a housing for a piezoelectric actuator which has dumbbell shaped holes punched in it.

Claim 12 has not been considered as it has been non-elected in paper 10 in response to the restriction requirement of paper 8.

Direct inquiry concerning this action to Examiner Dougherty at (703) 308-

1628.

December 21, 2001

THOMAS M. DOUGHERTY
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 2480

Glimas M. Quy

2100

Page 4